COHERENCE – The Multidisciplinary Research Journal
Volume No: 16, Issue No: 2, Year: 2025 (July-December)
PP: 38-41
ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

THE POLITICS OF INTIMACY: FAMILY, GENDER, AND POWER IN JANE AUSTEN AND ANITA DESAI

Saroj Kumar Ray

NET (JRF), English
Village - Ukhra, Narsara, Darbhanga, Bihar

ABSTRACT

This study examines how Jane Austen and Anita Desai transform intimate domestic life into a field of social, gendered, and economic power. Although Austen writes within the world of Regency England and Desai writes from postcolonial Indian middle-class contexts, both authors reveal that family is never merely private. It is shaped by property, inheritance, marriage, class discipline, emotional labour, and patriarchal expectation. Austen's fiction presents marriage as a moral and economic institution through which women negotiate security, affection, rank, and self-respect. Desai's novels, particularly *Clear Light of Day*, *Cry, the Peacock*, and *Fire on the Mountain*, expose the psychological costs of domestic confinement, gendered care, and emotional invisibility. The study argues that both writers politicize intimacy by showing how family life becomes a structure of power where women's agency is limited but never erased. Austen's irony and Desai's psychological realism differ in form, yet both interrogate the unequal distribution of authority, property, care, and speech within the household.

Keywords: Jane Austen, Anita Desai, gender, family, intimacy, power, marriage, domestic economy, patriarchy, women's agency.

I. INTRODUCTION

The family has often been represented as a natural site of affection, stability, and moral formation. Yet in literary fiction, especially in the works of women writers, the family frequently appears as a contested institution where affection and authority operate together. Jane Austen and Anita Desai belong to different historical, cultural, and national traditions, but both examine how domestic life is regulated by gender, class, property, and emotional discipline. Austen's novels are located in the landed and professional middle-class world of late eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century England, where marriage determines women's social and economic future [1]. Desai's fiction emerges from twentieth-century India, where modern education, urbanization, and postcolonial social change coexist with deeply rooted patriarchal expectations [2].

The politics of intimacy in these writers lies in the fact that the home is never outside power. Austen's households are governed by inheritance, dowry, entailment, rank, and marital negotiations. Desai's homes are structured by silence, caregiving, memory, resentment, and gendered sacrifice. In both, intimacy becomes political because the private sphere reproduces public hierarchies. Feminist criticism has long argued that Austen's marriage plots are not simple romantic resolutions but complex engagements with women's restricted choices under patriarchy [3]. Similarly, criticism on Desai emphasizes her attention to the inner lives of women who experience domesticity as psychological pressure rather than fulfilment [4].

This study studies Austen and Desai through a literary-economic lens. It argues that both writers expose the household as a gendered economy: a system where money, property, emotional labour, marriage, and care are unequally distributed. Austen's critique is shaped by irony and social comedy; Desai's by psychological depth and emotional fragmentation. Together, they show that family is not merely a refuge from power but one of its most intimate forms.

II. FAMILY AS AN ECONOMIC INSTITUTION IN AUSTEN

Austen's fiction repeatedly shows that family affection is inseparable from economic arrangement. In *Pride and Prejudice*, the Bennet family's emotional anxieties are rooted in the entailment of Longbourn, which excludes the daughters from inheritance and makes marriage an urgent economic

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

necessity [1]. Mrs. Bennet's comic desperation is often treated humorously, but beneath it lies a serious material condition: five daughters must secure their future in a society where property and income are controlled primarily by men. The marriage market in Austen is therefore not only a social convention; it is an economic structure.

Charlotte Lucas's marriage to Mr. Collins is one of Austen's clearest examples of intimacy shaped by material calculation. Charlotte does not romanticize her choice. She accepts marriage as a practical arrangement that provides economic security and social respectability [1]. Through Charlotte, Austen refuses to reduce marriage to affection alone. She shows that for women with limited property, marriage functions as a form of survival. The emotional compromise involved in such a decision reveals the gendered imbalance of the domestic economy.

In *Sense and Sensibility*, the Dashwood women's displacement after Mr. Dashwood's death demonstrates how inheritance practices produce female vulnerability [5]. Their reduced income changes their residence, prospects, social mobility, and marital possibilities. Elinor's emotional restraint is not only a personal virtue; it is a survival strategy in a world where women must manage feeling under economic pressure. Marianne's romantic idealism, by contrast, is painfully corrected by social realities. Austen does not reject feeling, but she insists that feeling operates within material constraints.

Austen's fiction therefore challenges the sentimental idea that marriage is simply a union of hearts. Marriage is linked to income, land, inheritance, and family status. Critics such as Edward Copeland have rightly emphasized the centrality of money in Austen's fictional world, where income is carefully specified and social behaviour is closely tied to financial position [6]. Austen's precision about money is not decorative. It is central to her critique of gender and power.

III. GENDER, AUTHORITY, AND THE MARRIAGE PLOT

Austen's marriage plots are political because they dramatize women's negotiation with patriarchal authority. Elizabeth Bennet's refusal of Mr. Collins and later of Mr. Darcy's first proposal shows her moral agency. She refuses marriage when it threatens her self-respect, even though refusal carries economic risk [1]. This is important because Austen does not present agency as absolute freedom. Elizabeth acts within constraint, but she still acts. Her choice is meaningful precisely because the social structure pressures her to accept security over dignity.

In *Mansfield Park*, Fanny Price represents a different model of female resistance. Her refusal to marry Henry Crawford is quiet, morally grounded, and socially costly [7]. Unlike Elizabeth, Fanny has little charm, wealth, or status to protect her. Her resistance is therefore more vulnerable. She is pressured by Sir Thomas Bertram, whose patriarchal authority is both familial and economic. Fanny's refusal exposes the family as a disciplinary institution: affection is offered conditionally, and obedience is expected in return for protection.

Austen's critique of male authority is subtle but firm. Fathers, brothers, and male guardians often control women's prospects, yet they are not always wise or morally superior. Mr. Bennet's negligence, Sir Walter Elliot's vanity, John Dashwood's selfishness, and Sir Thomas's authoritarian blindness reveal the instability of patriarchal judgment [5], [7], [8]. Austen's irony dismantles the assumption that male authority naturally produces order.

At the same time, Austen does not present women as a unified moral category. Lady Catherine de Bourgh, Caroline Bingley, and Mrs. Norris show that women can also enforce hierarchy and gender discipline [1], [7]. This complicates Austen's gender politics. Patriarchy in her novels is not sustained by men alone; it is reproduced through class ambition, family pressure, social surveillance, and women's internalization of status values.

IV. ANITA DESAI AND THE PSYCHOLOGICAL POLITICS OF THE HOME

If Austen examines the social economy of marriage, Anita Desai turns more intensely toward the psychological economy of domestic life. Her fiction often begins where conventional marriage plots end: inside the home, after marriage, within family memory, and amid emotional isolation. In *Cry, the*

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

Peacock, Maya's marriage to Gautama becomes a site of psychic suffocation [9]. The problem is not merely incompatibility between husband and wife. It is the wider structure of gendered emotional neglect. Maya's desires, fears, and anxieties are dismissed because the household privileges masculine rationality over feminine emotional expression.

Desai's women are often surrounded by family yet remain internally isolated. This isolation is not accidental. It arises from a domestic order in which women are expected to absorb silence, provide care, and maintain emotional continuity without receiving equivalent recognition. Feminist readings of Desai have observed that her novels expose the inner fragmentation of women who are trapped between social expectation and personal consciousness [4].

In *Clear Light of Day*, the Das family home becomes a repository of memory, resentment, and unfinished emotional debts [10]. Bim, Tara, Raja, and Baba are bound by sibling intimacy, but this intimacy is unequal. Bim remains in the old Delhi house, caring for Baba and carrying the burden of family continuity. Tara escapes through marriage, Raja through ambition and identification with another household. Bim's independence is therefore complex. She is unmarried and intellectually strong, yet her freedom is tied to care work that others have left behind.

The politics of intimacy in *Clear Light of Day* is not expressed through overt domination alone. It appears through abandonment, memory, expectation, and emotional labour. Bim's anger toward Raja is not simply personal bitterness. It is a response to gendered responsibility. The family permits male departure but expects female endurance. Desai turns the home into a historical and emotional archive where gendered obligations accumulate over time.

V. GENDERED CARE AND EMOTIONAL LABOUR

Austen and Desai both recognize that women perform hidden forms of labour within the family. In Austen, this labour often appears as emotional management. Elinor Dashwood regulates her grief, supports her mother and sister, and maintains social dignity under financial stress [5]. Anne Elliot in *Persuasion* performs a similar role: she is the emotional caretaker of a vain father, a selfish elder sister, and a socially anxious family circle [8]. Her intelligence is repeatedly used by others, but rarely acknowledged.

This emotional labour is not paid, named, or formally valued. Yet it sustains the family. Austen's achievement lies in making such invisible labour morally visible. Her heroines often possess judgment, restraint, and care, while the social order rewards wealth, rank, and masculine confidence. The contradiction is central to Austen's critique of domestic power.

Desai extends this critique in a more painful psychological register. Aunt Mira in *Clear Light of Day* embodies the destructive consequences of care without dignity [10]. She enters the family as a dependent widow and becomes a caretaker, but her service does not grant her real authority or emotional security. Her decline reveals how patriarchal families consume women's labour while denying them personhood. Bim's later role repeats this pattern in a different form: she has more self-awareness and resistance, but she too becomes the one who remains.

In *Fire on the Mountain*, Nanda Kaul's retreat from family life represents a refusal of lifelong caregiving [11]. Her withdrawal is not simple selfishness; it is a response to decades of being consumed by the duties of wife, mother, hostess, and social figure. The novel exposes the exhaustion hidden behind respectable domestic femininity. Nanda's desire for solitude becomes an act of resistance against the endless demands placed upon women.

VI. COMPARATIVE DISCUSSION: AUSTEN'S IRONY AND DESAI'S INTERIOR REALISM

Austen and Desai differ significantly in narrative method. Austen uses irony, dialogue, social comedy, and controlled free indirect discourse to expose domestic power. Desai uses interior monologue, memory, sensory detail, and psychological fragmentation. Austen's world is structured by courtship; Desai's by aftermath, memory, and psychic unrest. Yet their central concern overlaps: both writers show that private life is organized by unequal power.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

Austen's heroines often achieve negotiated settlements. Elizabeth Bennet, Elinor Dashwood, and Anne Elliot enter marriages that combine affection with moral recognition [1], [5], [8]. These endings do not abolish patriarchy, but they imagine better forms of intimacy within existing structures. Austen's realism lies in her refusal to separate love from money, family, and social judgment.

Desai's endings are less socially restorative. Her fiction often refuses the consolations of marriage or family reconciliation. *Clear Light of Day* offers partial emotional release, but not a complete transformation of gender relations [10]. *Cry, the Peacock* ends in violence and breakdown [9]. *Fire on the Mountain* ends with disturbance rather than domestic healing [11]. Desai's modernist sensibility is more tragic because it emphasizes the psychological cost of structures that remain unresolved.

From an economic perspective, Austen foregrounds property, income, inheritance, and marriageability, while Desai foregrounds unpaid care, emotional depletion, dependency, and domestic invisibility. Both are concerned with distribution: Austen with the distribution of property and marital opportunity; Desai with the distribution of care, attention, freedom, and emotional burden. Together, they widen the meaning of economy beyond money alone. The household is an economy of resources, but also of feeling, authority, memory, and silence.

VII. FAMILY, CLASS, AND SOCIAL REPRODUCTION

Both Austen and Desai reveal that families reproduce class values. Austen's families train daughters to marry suitably, preserve status, and manage reputation. The Bennets' crisis is not only financial but also reputational, especially after Lydia's elopement [1]. Female sexuality becomes a family asset or liability. Lydia's conduct threatens all her sisters because patriarchal society treats women's respectability as collective family capital.

In Desai, class reproduction appears in the habits of the urban Indian middle class. The Das family in *Clear Light of Day* is marked by education, colonial residue, social aspiration, and emotional neglect [10]. Raja's admiration for Hyder Ali's household reflects his desire to enter a more refined cultural world. Tara's marriage provides mobility and distance. Bim's remaining in the old house suggests both resistance and stagnation. The family becomes a site where class aspiration and gendered immobility intersect.

This comparison shows that intimacy is shaped by historical context. Austen writes in a society where landed property and marriage settlements define women's prospects. Desai writes in a postcolonial society where education and modernity do not automatically free women from domestic expectation. In both contexts, gender inequality survives through ordinary family arrangements rather than only through explicit public laws.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Jane Austen and Anita Desai demonstrate that intimacy is deeply political. Their fiction shows that the family is not merely a private emotional space but a structure shaped by property, gender, class, care, and authority. Austen examines how women negotiate marriage, inheritance, rank, and moral agency in a society that restricts their economic independence. Desai explores the psychological consequences of domestic confinement, emotional neglect, and gendered care in modern Indian family life.

Austen's irony and Desai's psychological realism create different literary worlds, yet both writers expose the unequal arrangements hidden inside ordinary domestic relations. Austen's heroines seek marriages based on respect, judgment, and emotional reciprocity. Desai's women often seek silence, solitude, memory, or self-recognition in response to domestic exhaustion. In both cases, women's agency appears not as complete liberation but as negotiation, refusal, endurance, and interpretation.

The politics of intimacy in Austen and Desai therefore lies in their shared insight that power does not operate only in parliaments, courts, markets, or public institutions. It also operates at dining tables, in drawing rooms, in bedrooms, in sibling relations, in marriage negotiations, and in the silent labour of care. By making the household visible as a political and economic field, Austen and Desai remain central to feminist literary criticism and to broader discussions of gender, family, and power.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

REFERENCES

1. J. Austen, Pride and Prejudice. London, UK: T. Egerton, 1813.
2. A. Desai, Clear Light of Day. London, UK: Heinemann, 1980.
3. M. Poovey, The Proper Lady and the Woman Writer: Ideology as Style in the Works of Mary Wollstonecraft, Mary Shelley, and Jane Austen. Chicago, IL, USA: University of Chicago Press, 1984.
4. S. K. Jain, Women in the Novels of Anita Desai. New Delhi, India: Creative Books, 2001.
5. J. Austen, Sense and Sensibility. London, UK: T. Egerton, 1811.
6. E. Copeland, Women Writing About Money: Women's Fiction in England, 1790–1820. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1995.
7. J. Austen, Mansfield Park. London, UK: T. Egerton, 1814.
8. J. Austen, Persuasion. London, UK: John Murray, 1818.
9. A. Desai, Cry, the Peacock. London, UK: Peter Owen, 1963.
10. A. Desai, Clear Light of Day. London, UK: Heinemann, 1980.
11. A. Desai, Fire on the Mountain. London, UK: Heinemann, 1977.
12. C. Johnson, Jane Austen: Women, Politics, and the Novel. Chicago, IL, USA: University of Chicago Press, 1988.
13. S. M. Gilbert and S. Gubar, The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press, 1979.
14. M. Butler, Jane Austen and the War of Ideas. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press, 1975.
15. E. Copeland and J. McMaster, Eds., The Cambridge Companion to Jane Austen. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
16. S. Bhatnagar and M. Rajeshwar, The Novels of Anita Desai: A Critical Study. New Delhi, India: Atlantic Publishers, 2000.
17. K. K. Sharma, Ed., Perspectives on Anita Desai. Ghaziabad, India: Vimal Prakashan, 1984.
18. E. Showalter, A Literature of Their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 1977.